28

cc: Intake

1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 MARION E. COIT, No. C-08-02585 JCS 7 Plaintiff(s), NOTICE OF IMPENDING REASSIGNMENT TO A UNITED 8 v. STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 9 FIDELITY ASSURANCE ASSOCIATES LLC, ET AL., 10 11 Defendant(s). 12 13 The Clerk of this Court will now randomly reassign this case to a United States District 14 Judge because either: 15 [X] One or more of the parties has requested reassignment to a United States District Judge 16 or has not consented to the jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge, or 17 One or more of the parties has sought a type of judicial action (e.g., a temporary 18 restraining order) that a United States Magistrate Judge may not take without the consent of all 19 parties, the necessary consents have not been secured, and time is of the essence. 20 ALL HEARING DATES PRESENTLY SCHEDULED BEFORE MAGISTRATE JUDGE 21 SPERO ARE VACATED AND SHOULD BE RE-NOTICED FOR HEARING BEFORE THE 22 JUDGE TO WHOM THIS CASE HAS BEEN REASSIGNED... 23 24 Dated: June 27, 2008 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk 25 United States District Court 26 By: Karen L. Hom 27 Deputy Clerk